

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,378	03/12/2004	Cheri A. Anaclerio	1215-0490P	. 5649
38598 ANDREWS KI	7590 12/13/2007 TRTH LLP		EXAMINER	
1350 I STREET, N.W.			MAI, TAN V	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·····			H
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Commence	10/798,378	ANACLERIO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V. Mai	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	. •		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatiority documents have been receivau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/798,378

Art Unit: 2193

1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per amended claims 1 & 12, the claims add the "thereby <u>producing the customized filter ..."</u> feature. The phrase seems to be vague and indefinite because the "computer-implemented method" only provides information, i.e., the data for "build" the filter, not the actual filter. Clarification requested.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Rejection grounds continue to be those set forth in the previous office action (Paper dated 6/20/07, paragraph 1).

4. Applicants' arguments filed on 9/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants, in their remarks, argue that:

"[c]laims 1 and 12 are amended to recite a computer-implemented method for designing a customized filter. The method <u>produces a customized filter having nearly ideal responses in both the frequency domain and the time domain, which is concrete, useful, and tangible result. See State Street Bank v. Signature Financial Group, Inc., 47 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1998)</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/798,378

Art Unit: 2193

(holding that a price for a financial product is a concrete, useful, and tangible restllt). Therefore, claims 1 and 12 (and their respective dependent claims) contain statutory subject matter tinder 35 U.S.C. § 101. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. §101 is respectfully requested" (emphasis added).

With respect to the arguments, the examiner carefully reviews Applicant's specification and claimed invention. It is noted that applicants haven't pointed out how/why the claim produces a **useful**, **concrete**, **and tangible result**. If the claim as a whole is reasonably interpreted as just solving a mathematical algorithm rather than reciting a **practical application** of the algorithm which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, then it would be non-statutory. In order for claims to be statutory, claims must include a practical application with a concrete, useful, and tangible result.

However, claims 1-15 merely disclose elements for performing mathematical function without disclosing a **practical application with a concrete**, **useful**, **and tangible result**, **as they are pre-emptive in any application**. Therefore, claims 1-15 are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Therefore, the rejection is still proper.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/798,378

Art Unit: 2193

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner